arXiv:1412.3685v1 [math.CO] 11 Dec 2014

Acyclic orientations and poly-Bernoulli numbers

P. J. Cameron
University of St Andrews

C. A. Glass
City University London

R. U. Schumacher*
City University London

Abstract

In 1997, Masanobu Kaneko defined *poly-Bernoulli numbers*, which bear much the same relation to polylogarithms as Berunoulli numbers do to logarithms. In 2008, Chet Brewbaker described a counting problem whose solution can be identified with the poly-Bernoulli numbers with negative index, the *lonesum matrices*.

The main aim of this paper is to give formulae for the number of acyclic orientations of a complete bipartite graph, or of a complete bipartite graph with one edge added or removed.

Our formula shows that the number of acyclic orientations of K_{n_1,n_2} is equal to the poly-Bernoulli number $B_{n_1}^{(-n_2)}$. We also give a simple bijective identification of acyclic orientations and lonesum matrices.

We make some remarks on the context of our result, which are expanded in another paper.

1 Introduction

We begin by giving a few definitions we will use throughout. An *acyclic orientation* of an undirected graph G is an assignment of direction to each edge in such a way that we obtain no directed cycles, thus obtaining an

*EPSRC Grant EP/P504872/1

acyclic directed graph. Let $a(G)$ be the number of acyclic orientations of a graph G. There is always at least one acyclic orientation of G obtained by ordering the vertices of G and orienting edges from smaller to larger vertex index. Next we define K_{n_1,n_2} to be the *complete bipartite graph on* $n_1 + n_2$ *vertices* to be the graph whose vertices are partitioned into sets of sizes n_1 and n_2, having all possible edges between these two sets and none within them. We denote by $S(n,k)$ the *Stirling number of the second kind* which counts the number of ways to partition a set of n objects into k non-empty subsets.

2 The number of acyclic orientations of certain graphs

Our main results are given in the next three theorems.

Theorem 2.1 *The number of acyclic orientations of the complete bipartite graph* K_{n_1,n_2} *is*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\min\{n_1+1,n_2+1\}} (k-1)!^2 S(n_1+1,k)S(n_2+1,k),$$

where S *denotes Stirling numbers of the second kind.*

Theorem 2.2 *Let* G *be the graph obtained from* K_{n_1,n_2} *by adding an edge* e_1 *joining two vertices in the bipartite block of size* n_1*, where* $n_1 > 1$*. Then*

$$a(G) = a(K_{n_1 n_2} + e_1) = a(K_{n_1,n_2}) + a(K_{n_1-1,n_2}).$$

Theorem 2.3 *Let* G *be the graph obtained by deleting an edge from* K_{n_1,n_2}*. Then*

$$a(G) = a(K_{n_1 n_2} - e) = a(K_{n_1,n_2}) - \frac{1}{2}X,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\min\{n_1,n_2\}+1} ((k-2)!)^2 \quad & [(2k-3)S(n_1+1,k)S(n_2+1,k) \\ & -(k-2)(S(n_1+1,k)S(n_2,k) + S(n_1,k)S(n_2+1,k)) \\ & -S(n_1,k)S(n_2,k)\,]. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove these three theorems in the next three subsections.

2.1 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Let A and B be the two bipartite blocks; we will imagine their vertices as coloured amber and blue respectively. Now any acyclic orientation of the graph can be obtained by ordering the vertices and making the edges point from smaller to greater. If we do this, we will have alternating amber and blue intervals; the ordering within each interval is irrelevant in identifying the orientation, but the ordering of the intervals themselves matters.

In terms of structure for a given orientation, call two points $a_1, a_2 \in A$ *equivalent* if the orientations of $\{a_1, b\}$ and $\{a_2, b\}$ are the same for all $b \in B$. Points of A are equivalent if and only if they are not separated by a point of B in any ordering giving rise to the acyclic orientation. Similarly for B. This gives us the intervals, which are interleaved.

It is left to count alternating intervals. To get around the problem that the first interval in the ordering might be in either A or B, and similarly for the last interval, we use the following trick. Add a dummy amber vertex a_0 to A and a dummy blue vertex b_0 to B. Now partition $A \cup \{a_0\}$ and $B \cup \{b_0\}$ into the same number, say k, of intervals. This can be done in $S(n_1 + 1, k)S(n_2 + 1, k)$ ways. Now we order the intervals so that

- the interval containing a_0 is first;
- the colours of the intervals alternate;
- the interval containing b_0 is last.

This can be done in $(k-1)!^2$ ways. Finally, delete the dummy points.

Summing over k gives the total number claimed.

2.2 Proof of Theorem 2.2

Let G be the graph consisting of K_{n_1,n_2} (with bipartite blocks A and B) together with an edge joining two vertices in A. Now any acyclic orientation of K_{n_1,n_2} can be extended to either one or two acyclic orientations of G; so $a(G) = A(K_{n_1,n_2}) + Z$, where Z is the number of acyclic orientations of K_{n_1,n_2} for which the added edge $\{a_1, a_2\}$ can be oriented in either direction.

The edge $\{a_1, a_2\}$ can be oriented in either direction without creating a cycle, iff $\{a_1, b\}$ has the same orientations as $\{a_2, b\}$, for each vertex b of B. Thus, we are effectively finding an acyclic orientation of K_{n_1-1,n_2}. So $Z = a(K_{n_1-1,n_2})$.

2.3 Proof of Theorem 2.3

Deleting an edge is a little more difficult. Suppose that we calculate the number X of acyclic orientations of K_{n_1,n_2} which remain acyclic when a given edge $e = \{a, b\}$ is flipped. (This number clearly does not depend on the chosen edge.) Then the number of acyclic orientations of $G = K_{n_1,n_2} - e$ is $a(K_{n_1,n_2}) - \frac{1}{2}X$. For if we call this number Y, then $\frac{1}{2}X$ of the acyclic orientations of G extend to two acyclic orientations of K_{n_1,n_2}, while the remaining $Y - \frac{1}{2}X$ extend to a unique acyclic orientation; so $a(K_{n_1,n_2}) = X + (Y - \frac{1}{2}X)$, giving the result. It thus remains to verify the formula for X given in the statement of the theorem.

We follow the construction in the proof of Theorem 2.1. The edge e can be flipped in an orientation if and only if the part of the partition of B containing b immediately precedes or follows the part of the partition of A containing a, in the corresponding vertex ordering. (If a part of B, containing a vertex b' say, and a part of A, containing a vertex a', intervene, then we have arcs (a, b'), (b', a') and (a', b), so the arc (a, b) is forced. Similarly in the other case.)

If $k = 1$, then all edges are directed from A to B, and (a, b) can be flipped. So this contributes 1 to the sum.

Suppose that $k > 2$. We distinguish four cases, according as a_0 and a are or are not in the same part, and similarly for b_0 and b. Of the $S(n_1 + 1, k)$ partitions of $A \cup \{a_0\}$, $S(n_1, k)$ have a_0 and a in the same part: this is found by regarding a_0 and a as the same element, partitioning the resulting set of size n_1, and then separating them again.

Case 1 a_0 and a in the same part, b_0 and b, in the same part. Since $k > 1$, the parts containing a_0 and b_0, and hence the parts containing a and b, are not consecutive, so the contribution from this case is 0.

Case 2 a_0 and a in the same part, b_0 and b not. There are $S(n_1, k)(S(n_2 + 1, k) - S(n_2, k))$ pairs of partitions with this property. Now the part containing b must come immediately after the part containing a, so there are only $(k - 2)!$ orderings of the parts of B, while still $(k - 1)!$ for the parts of A.

Case 3 b_0 and b in the same part, a_0 and a not. This case is the same as Case 2, with n_1 and n_2 interchanged.

Case 4 a_0 and a in different parts, b_0 and b in different parts. There are $(S(n_1+1,k)-S(n_1,k))(S(n_2+1,k)-S(n_2,k))$ such pairs of partitions. Now the parts containing a and b must be adjacent, so must occur as $(3,2),(3,4),(5,4),\ldots,$ or $(2k-1,2k-2)$ in the ordering of parts: there are $(2k-3)$ possibilities. Once one possibility has been chosen, the position of two parts for both A and B are fixed, so there are $((k-2)!)^2$ possible orderings.

Combining all of the above terms and rearranging, gives the value of X, completing the proof.

2.4 Some numerical values

It is instructive to view the numerical values of the number of acyclic orientations of bipartite graphs K_{n_1,n_2}. When $n_1 = 1$, the graph is a tree, and we have $a(K_{1,n}) = 2^n$. For n_1 between 2 and 7 Table 1 gives the number of acyclic orientations of the complete bipartite graphs and Tables 2 and 3 those graphs with an edge added or removed, calculated from the formulae in Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3. In Table 2 for $K_{n_1,n_2}+e_1$, the added edge e_1 is in the bipartite block of size n_1. All of these values have been checked by calculating the chromatic polynomial of the graph. (A theorem of Stanley [5] asserts that the number of acyclic orientations of an n-vertex graph G is $(-1)^n P_G(-1)$, where P_G is the chromatic polynomial of G.)

$n_1 \setminus n_2$	2	3	4	5	6	7
2	14	46	146	454	1394	4246
3		230	1066	4718	20266	85310
4			6902	41506	237686	1315666
5				329462	2441314	17234438
6					22934774	22934774
7						2193664790

Table 1: The number of acyclic orientations of K_{n_1,n_2}

Note that as well as the formula $a(K_{1,n}) = 2^n$ we have $a(K_{2,n}+e_1) = 2\cdot 3^n$. This is because the graph $K_{2,n}+e_1$ consists of n triangles sharing a common edge e_1, there are two ways to orient the edge e_1, and then three

$n_1 \setminus n_2$	2	3	4	5	6	7
2	18	54	162	486	1458	4374
3	60	276	1212	5172	21660	89556
4	192	1296	7968	46224	257952	1400976
5	600	5784	48408	370968	2679000	18550104
6	1848	24984	279192	2770776	25376088	219463704
7	5640	105576	1553352	19675752	225164040	2395894056

Table 2: The number of acyclic orientations of $K_{n_1,n_2} + e_1$

$n_1 \setminus n_2$	2	3	4	5	6	7
2	8	28	92	292	908	2788
3		152	736	3344	14608	62192
4			5000	30952	180632	1012936
5				253352	1915672	13715144
6					18381608	164501368
7						1812141032

Table 3: The number of acyclic orientations of $K_{n_1,n_2} - e$

ways to choose the orientations of the remaining edges of each triangle to avoid a cycle. Putting these two results together in Theorem 2.2 gives us $a(K_{2,n}) = 2 \cdot 3^n - 2^n$. Is there a closed formula for $a(K_{n_1,n_2})$ in general?

3 Poly-Bernoulli numbers and lonesum matrices

The formulae for the number of acyclic orientations of a bipartite graph K_{n_1,n_2} in Theorem 2.1 appear to be obscure. However, we now show that it is actually the poly-Bernoulli number in the variables n_1 and n_2, as defined by Kaneko, for which another combinatorial interpretation was found by Brewbaker. In this section we explain the connections.

3.1 Poly-Bernoulli numbers

This is only a very brief introduction to the poly-Bernoulli numbers, which were introduced by Masanobu Kaneko [3] in 1997. Kaneko gave the following definitions. Let

$$\mathrm{Li}_k(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^m}{m^k},$$

and let

$$\frac{\mathrm{Li}_k(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-x})}{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-x}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(k)} \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

The numbers $B_n^{(k)}$ are the *poly-Bernoulli numbers of order k*. Kaneko gave a couple of nice formulae for the poly-Bernoulli numbers of negative order, of which one is relevant here.

Theorem 3.1 (Kaneko)

$$B_n^{(-m)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\min(n,m)} (j!)^2 S(n+1, j+1) S(m+1, j+1).$$

This formula has the (entirely non-obvious) corollary that these numbers have a symmetry property: $B_n^{(-m)} = B_m^{(-n)}$ for all non-negative integers n and k. Kaneko's Theorem together with Theorem 2.1 gives the following result.

Theorem 3.2 *The number of acyclic orientations of K_{n_1,n_2} is the poly-Bernoulli number $B_{n_1}^{(-n_2)} = B_{n_2}^{(-n_1)}$.*

3.2 Lonesum matrices

Another combinatorial interpretation was given by Chad Brewbaker [1] in 2008. A zero-one matrix is a *lonesum matrix* if it is uniquely determined by its row and column sums. Clearly a lonesum matrix cannot contain either $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ as a submatrix (in not necessarily consecutive rows or columns). (Since if one such submatrix occurred it could be flipped into the other without changing the row and column sums.) Ryser [4] showed that, conversely, a matrix containing neither of these is a lonesum matrix. Brewbaker showed that the number of $n_1 \times n_2$ lonesum matrices is given by the poly-Bernoulli number $B_{n_1}^{(-n_2)}$. We give the simple argument why this number is equal to the number of acyclic orientations of K_{n_1,n_2}.

In one direction, number the vertices in the bipartite blocks from 1 to n_1 (in A) and from 1 to n_2 (in B). Now given an orientation of the graph, we can describe it by a matrix whose (i, j) entry is 1 if the edge from vertex i of A to vertex j of B goes in the direction from A to B, and 0 otherwise. The two forbidden submatrices for lonesum matrices correspond to directed 4-cycles; so any acyclic orientation gives us a lonesum matrix.

Conversely, if an orientation of a complete bipartite graph contains no directed 4-cycles, then it contains no directed cycles at all. For suppose that there are no directed 4-cycles, but there is a directed cycle $(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \ldots, a_k, b_k, a_1)$. Then the edge between a_1 and b' must be directed from a_1 to b', since otherwise there would be a 4-cycle $(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, a_1)$. But then we have a shorter directed cycle $(a_1, b_2, a_3, \ldots, b_k, a_1)$. Continuing this shortening process, we would eventually arrive at a directed 4-cycle, a contradiction. (This simply says that the cycle space of the complete bipartite graph is generated by 4-cycles.)

4 Maximizing the number of acyclic orientations

We believe that the graphs K_{n_1,n_2}, for $|n_1 - n_2| \leq 1$, maximise the number of acyclic orientations over the class of all graphs with the same numbers of vertices and edges as these graphs. The evidence and partial results on this are presented in a companion paper [2].

5 Acknowledgements

Robert Schumacher was funded by EPSRC Grant EP/P504872/1.

References

[1] Chad Brewbaker, A combinatorial interpretation of the poly-Bernoulli numbers and two Fermat analogues, *Integers* **8** (2008), #A02 (9pp.)

[2] P. J. Cameron, C. A. Glass and R. U. Schumacher, in preparation.

[3] Masanobu Kaneko, Poly-Bernoulli numbers, *Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux* **9** (1997), 221–228.

[4] Herbert J. Ryser, Combinatorial properties of matrices of zeros and ones, *Canadian J. Math.* **9** (1957), 371–377.

[5] Richard Stanley, Acyclic orientations of graphs, *Discrete Math.* **5** (1973), 171–178.